

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,634	72,634 02/05/2004		Jahir Pabon	26.0265 US	5659
30686	7590	09/18/2006		EXAMINER	
SCHLUMB	ERGER	K.K.	LUKS, JEREMY AUSTIN		
2-2-1 FUCH	HINOBE				
SAGAMIHA	RA-SHI,	KANAOAWA-KEN,	229-0006	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
JAPAN				2837	

DATE MAILED: 09/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	10/772,634	PABON ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAIL ING DATE of this communication com	Jeremy Luks	2837					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Au	<u> </u>						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-16,21-35 and 37-46 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1-16,21-35 and 37-46</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	nte					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/10/05</u> . 6) Other:							

Art Unit: 2837

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 38 is objected to because of the following informalities: There is no support in Applicant's application for "a fourth hollow cylinder (184) disposed between the *first* and *second* supporting rings". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 37-38 and 40-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arian (6,564,899). Arian teaches a sonic receiver sleeve (Figure 10) comprising a first hollow metallic cylinder (86), a first supporting ring (134) coaxial with and attached to the first hollow metallic cylinder (86); a second supporting ring (132) coaxial with and spaced axially from the first support ring (134); and a second hollow cylinder (136) disposed between the first (134) and second (132) supporting rings; a third hollow metallic cylinder (Figure 20, see portion of #86 below #200) opposite of the second hollow cylinder (186); a third supporting ring (see ring near #190, corresponding to #124 in Figure 10) coaxial with and attached to the third hollow metallic cylinder (86); a fourth supporting ring (see ring near #188, corresponding to #122 in Figure 10) coaxial with and spaced axially from the third support ring (190); and a fourth hollow

Art Unit: 2837

cylinder (184) disposed between the supporting rings (rings near #190, 188); and wherein the first (86, above #200) and third (86, below #200) hollow metallic cylinders each comprise an acoustic impedance at higher than the second (186) and fourth (184) hollow cylinders (Col. 9, Lines 28-64). Arian fails to teach wherein the first and third hollow metallic cylinders each comprise an acoustic impedance between twice and at least ten times as high as the second and fourth hollow cylinders. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the first and third hollow metallic cylinders each with an acoustic impedance between twice and at least ten times as high as the second and fourth hollow cylinders, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or working range involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233. Arian fails to teach wherein the second and forth hollow cylinders comprise elastomer, resin, or both elastomer and resin. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a second and forth hollow cylinder comprising elastomer, resin, or both elastomer and resin, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416. Further, Arian fails to teach a third hollow metallic cylinder attached to the second supporting ring. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to attach the third hollow metallic cylinder to the second supporting ring,

Page 3

Art Unit: 2837

since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. In re Japikse, 86 USPQ 70

3. Claims 1-16, 21-35, 39 and 42-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arian (6,564,899) in view of Hoyle (5,036,945) and Meehan (6,535,458).

With respect to Claims 1-15, 21-34, 39 and 42-46, Arian teaches an acoustic logging tool (Figure 1, #10) comprising a central rigid mandrel (Figure 10, 86); a plurality of spaced mass blocks (164) comprising an inner diameter bearing against an outer diameter of the mandrel (86) in an interference fit; a plurality of acoustic elements (160, 162) attached to each of the plurality of spaced mass blocks (164); and a plurality of axially discontinuous, alternating circumferentially continuous first and second acoustic impedance zones (132, 134) (Col. 9, Lines 28-39); wherein each of the plurality of sonic elements (160, 162) is axially aligned with one of the second zones (132) (see plurality of modules in Figure 19); wherein each low acoustic impedance zone (134) is aligned axially with one or more of the acoustic elements (160, 162); wherein the first zone comprises a metal band and the second zone acoustically transparent comprises an elastomeric band (Col. 9, Lines 28-64); wherein the second (Figure 20, #186) and fourth (184) hollow cylinders are aligned with acoustic elements (200, 202) of a sonic logging tool; and an acoustically smooth outer sleeve covering the plurality of spaced mass blocks (164) and sonic receivers and comprising first and second zones (See Figure 9 outer sleeve. Arian fails to teach wherein the acoustic elements are receivers; and oil disposed in an annulus between the central mandrel and the outer sleeve, the oil

Art Unit: 2837

comprising an acoustic impedance matched to borehole fluid, and the oil is pressurized to match a borehole environment; wherein each low acoustic impedance zone comprises an acoustic impedance substantially matching borehole fluid; and wherein the mandrel is hollow and defines a wiring conduit; wherein the first and third hollow metallic cylinders each comprise an acoustic impedance between twice and at least ten times as high as the second and fourth hollow cylinders; wherein first and second acoustic impedance zones covering the plurality of spaced receiver blocks and acoustic receivers; wherein the first and second cylinders and the metal and elastomeric band are separable for maintenance and repair. Hoyle teaches wherein acoustic elements are receivers (Figure 5C, #C10); wherein the mandrel is hollow and defines a wiring conduit (Figure 5C, #C3); oil disposed in an annulus (Figure 5D, #C9) between the central mandrel and the outer sleeve, wherein the oil is pressurized to match a borehole environment (Col. 9, Line 46 - Col. 10, Line 3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the apparatus of Arian, with the apparatus of Hoyle to protect the tool from the extreme pressure normally experienced by such tools when disposed in oil well boreholes. Hoyle fails to teach the oil comprising an acoustic impedance matched to borehole fluid, and; wherein each low acoustic impedance zone comprises an acoustic impedance substantially matching borehole fluid; and wherein the first and third hollow metallic cylinders each comprise an acoustic impedance between twice and at least ten times as high as the second and fourth hollow cylinders, and the oil and low acoustic impedance zone comprising an acoustic impedance substantially matching borehole fluid. However, it would have been

Art Unit: 2837

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the first and third hollow metallic cylinders each with an acoustic impedance between twice and at least ten times as high as the second and fourth hollow cylinders, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or working range involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233. Hoyle fails to teach first and second acoustic impedance zones covering the plurality of spaced receiver blocks and acoustic receivers, However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to cover the spaced receiver blocks with the first and second impedance zones, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. In re Japikse, 86 USPQ 70. Hoyle fails to teach wherein the first and second cylinders and the metal and elastomeric band are separable for maintenance and repair. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the first and second cylinders and the metal and elastomeric band separable, since it has been held that constructing a formerly integral structure in various elements involves only routine skill in the art. Nerwin v. Erlichman, 168 USPQ 177, 179.

With respect to Claims 16 and 35, Arian teaches an outer sleeve with multiple modules (Figure 19), each module comprising a first hollow metallic cylinder (Figure 10, #86), a first supporting ring (134) coaxial with and attached to the first hollow metallic cylinder (86); a second supporting ring (132) coaxial with and spaced axially from the first support ring (134); and a second hollow cylinder (136) disposed between the first

Application/Control Number: 10/772,634 Page 7

Art Unit: 2837

(134) and second (132) supporting rings. Arian fails to teach wherein the second hollow cylinder comprises elastomer, resin, or both elastomer and resin. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a second and forth hollow cylinder comprising elastomer, resin, or both elastomer and resin, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Pertinent arts of record relating to acoustic logging tool sleeves are disclosed in the PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeremy Luks whose telephone number is (571) 272-2707. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:30-6:00, and alternating Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lincoln Donovan can be reached on (571) 272-1988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2837

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jeremy Luks Patent Examiner Art Unit 2837 Page 8

SUPERVISORY PATER EXAMINER